well-being resiliend nealthy kids prevention rigor OPPORTUNITY achi

achievement clumate culture

expectations aring supports

engagement

chools well-being ids equity success learning rigor relevance caring success

relevance equity opportunity caring

SUCCESS

LEARNING rigor

CLIMATE opportunity

prevention

Safe Schoolswell-

well-being caring

culture

pportunity well-

relationships

relevance kids prevention RESILIENCE

healthy kids Relationships rigo opportunity caring stations success

well-being resiliend evention rigor

elevance equity opportunity caring

ids equity

igor relevance

OPPORTUNITY ach

ngagement achievement esilience healthy

clumate culture

expectations aring supports expectations Safe resilience healthy revention rigor

engagement caring success

LEARNING rigor

CLIMATE

prevention

culture pportunity well-

SUCCESS

Safe Schoolswell-

well-being caring

relationships being resilience

relevance safe schools kids prevention RESILIENCE

healthy kids Relationships rigor opportunity caring

Special Education Report Fairfield-Suisun Unified 2009-2010

This report was prepared for the district by WestEd, a research, development, and service agency, in collaboration with Duerr Evaluation Resources, under contract from the California Department of Education, Safe and Healthy Kids Program Office. For contract information, contact:

Hilva Chan
California Department of Education
Safe and Healthy Kids Program Office
1430 N. Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
hchan@cde.ca.gov



Recommended citation:

[District/COE Name]. *California School Climate Survey, 2009-10. Special Education Report.* San Francisco: WestEd Health and Human Development Program for the California Department of Education.



CONTENTS

CONTENTS	ii
PREFACE	iv
Meeting the Needs of Students with IEPs	
Survey Purpose	
Survey Content Overview	
Survey Administration and Sample	
The Report	
Addressing the Needs of Other Groups and Closing the Achievement Gap	
Aids to Understanding and Using the Data	
Assessing the Data	
Improving Participation	
Next Steps	
Acknowledgements	
SUMMARY TABLES	
SUMMART TABLES	1
I. SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS	9
II. THE LEARNING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT	11
Student Learning Environment	11
Staff Working Environment	
General Staff Supports and Professional Development	
Special Education Supports and Professional Development	
Perceived School Safety	
Facilities	
Parent Involvement	17
III. STUDENT DEVELOPMENTAL SUPPORTS AND OPPORTUNITIES	18
Caring Relationships	18
High Expectations	
Opportunities for Meaningful Participation	
Professional Development Needs	
IV. RESPECT, CULTURAL SENSITIVITY, AND THE ACHIEVEMENT GAP	
Tolerance Among Students	22
Treating Students Fairly and Respectfully	
Cultural Sensitivity	
Instructional Equity	
Professional Development Needs	
V. LEARNING READINESS AND ENGAGEMENT INDICATORS	
Learning Motivation and Truancy	27
General Behavior	
Perceived Physical and Mental Health	
VI. PERCEIVED STUDENT RISK BEHAVIORS	30
Student Fighting and Bullying	30
Delinquency	
Substance Use	
VII. DISCIPLINE AND COUNSELING	
VII. DIOCIELINE AND COUNTELING	

VIII. LEARNING SUPPORTS MODULE: STUDENT SERVICES AND POLICIES	35
Module Respondents	35
Counseling and Intervention Services	35
Youth Development	36
Health Services and Physical Activity	
Special Education	38
Discipline Policies and Enforcement	<i>38</i>
Safety Promotion and Violence Prevention	40
Substance Abuse and Prevention	41
IX. SPECIAL EDUCATION SUPPORTS MODULE	43
Respondent Characteristics and Background	43
Barriers to Effective Service Delivery	45
Integration and Collaboration Between Special and General Education	46
Expectations and Supports for Special Populations	48
Personnel Supports	51

PREFACE

This report provides detailed results related to special education for each question in the district's 2009-10 administration of the *California School Climate Survey* (CSCS) to teachers, administrators, and other school staff, presented in tables organized by topic. The tables provide the results reported by staff who provide special education services to students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) compared to all other staff. Section IX of the report contains the results from those staff that completed the survey's Special Education Supports Module (SESM). To help in understanding and interpreting these results, review these findings along with the *CSCS Survey Content Guidebook* (cscs.wested.org.), *Workbook*, and other tools described below.

The CSCS, along with its companion *California Healthy Kids Survey*, administered at the same time to students, is a service of the California Department of Education (CDE). For additional information and resources, visit the survey websites: www.wested.org/chks and cscs.wested.org.

MEETING THE NEEDS OF STUDENTS WITH IEPS

In 2007, California implemented a renewed Strategic Action Plan broadly aimed at examining practices related to teacher recruitment, training, and retention of teachers in special education. It became clear that in order to improve special education services to students with IEPs at the local level, more data was needed. To address this need, the CDE Division of Special Education funded the addition of a Special Education Supports Module (SESM) to the CSCS and the preparation of this report. To raise general public awareness of the needs of students with IEPs, and the staff that provide them services, state-level reports are also being prepared. These reports will provide a better understanding of how well schools are implementing programs and services for students with IEPs in fulfillment of the Strategic Action Plan as well as the requirements of No Child Left Behind.

SURVEY PURPOSE

The CHKS and CSCS form the largest, most comprehensive effort in the nation to assess *local* students and staff on a regular basis to provide key data on learning barriers, engagement, and supports. The CSCS was developed by WestEd in 2004 for the CDE Safe and Healthy Kids Program Office to fulfill the requirement in the *No Child Left Behind Act* (NCLB) of 2001 Title IV that schools conduct an anonymous teacher survey related to student drug use and violence. Recognizing the opportunity this requirement presented, CDE expanded the content to collect data to guide school improvement efforts in general, and also made it possible for districts to add questions of their own choosing so that the survey could better meet local data needs. Because the results are anonymous and confidential, the survey provides staff with an opportunity to communicate honestly their perceptions about the school without repercussions. The data are provided to districts to guide their own efforts to improve schools and better meet the needs of students and staff.

The CSCS (as well as the CHKS) grew out of CDE's commitment to helping schools promote the successful cognitive, social, and emotional development of all students. Equally of concern is fostering positive school working environments, particularly to help address the growing problem of teacher retention. The CSCS report provides a perspective on the degree to which staff perceive their schools have safe, supportive, caring, collegial, challenging, and engaging learning and working environments with norms and standards that encourage academic success. Taken as a whole, the survey questions map to the three pillars emphasized by many school reform efforts — Rigor, Relevance, and Relationships. In conjunction with CHKS student data, the CSCS enriches a school's ability to create a positive school

climate that promotes quality teaching, school connectedness and academic achievement, and well-being among both students and staff.

Closing the Achievement Gap

In 2009-10, questions were added to provide better data to advance the efforts of schools to close the state's persistent racial/ethnic achievement gap (the CTAG Initiative). These questions, reported in Section IV assess the respect shown to students, equity and diversity, and cultural sensitivity.

Comparison with CHKS Results

Another broad goal was to provide data from school staff comparable to student self-report information from the CHKS, so that districts can ascertain whether staff perceptions accurately reflect student behaviors and experiences. The *CSCS Guidebook to the Survey Questions* provides a crosswalk between CSCS and CHKS questions and discusses how they might be compared.

SURVEY CONTENT OVERVIEW

The questions were selected with the assistance of an advisory committee to assess the key school climate variables that research and theory indicated are most associated with successful learning and teaching. Like most school climate surveys, it focuses on individual behaviors; patterns of communication and interactions; and professional and student supports. For a detailed discussion of the significance of each question, see the *CSCS Survey Content Guidebook*.

Questions are divided into three modules. The first Core section is answered by all staff. Two additional modules target staff with responsibilities for *learning supports* and *special education*. These groupspecific modules ask for more program- and issue-specific information that might not be known to other staff. Keep in mind that these two modules are answered only by sub-samples of all respondents. Tables 8.1 and 9.1 provide the number of staff who completed each of these modules.

Core Survey (Section 1)

All staff answer the questions in the first part of the survey. It provides background information about the characteristics of the staff respondents that completed the survey (Tables 1.1-1.6), and assesses the following domains:

- How supportive and inviting is the learning and working environment in general (Tables 2.1 and 2.6);
- School norms and standards that promote achievement, including the rigor and relevance of instruction, shared sense of responsibility for school improvement, (Tables 2.2-2.5, 2.7);
- Supports, professional respect, and collegiality within the working environment, factors that surveys of California teachers has shown to be key to teacher retention, as well as facilities maintenance and parent involvement (Tables 2.5-2.10, 2.19-2.20);
- Staff and student safety (Tables 2.17-2.18);
- Student developmental supports caring staff-student relationships, high expectations, and opportunities for meaningful participation and decision making— in the school environment that resilience research has linked to school (and life) success (Tables 3.1-3.10);
- Equity, respect, and cultural sensitivity, particularly germane for closing the achievement gap (4.1-4.13);
- Student behaviors that facilitate learning, including the degree to which students are ready and motivated to learn and are well-behaved (Tables 5.1-5.8);

- The level of problems the school experiences related to fourteen student behaviors or conditions (e.g., truancy, violence, bullying, substance use, mental health, and physical health) (Tables 6.1-6.8);
- The nature, communication, and enforcement of discipline-related rules/policies (Tables 7.1-7.5); and
- Staff professional development needs (Tables 2.12-16; 3.10, 4.10-4.13).

Learning Supports Module (LSM)

The Learning Supports Module (Tables 8.1-8.24) consists of 23 questions that are answered only by "practitioners" who provide services or instruction related to health, prevention, discipline, safety, or counseling. These questions assess the level of student programs, supports, services, and teacher professional development. The results can be compared to the level of student need as indicated by staff perceptions of problems (from the first section of the CSCS) and student report (from the CHKS).

Special Education Supports Module (SESM)

The Special Education Supports Module (Tables 9.1-9.25) consists of 24 questions designed to be answered only by staff who have responsibilities for teaching or providing related support services to students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). It provides data to better understand issues involving: (1) effectively meeting the needs of student with IEPs; and (2) recruiting and retaining special education staff. The SESM includes questions on educational and credentialing levels of the respondents and assesses for main areas:

- Barriers to Effective Service Delivery. Three questions assess whether the school works to
 reduce interruptions to instruction for students with Individualized Education Programs
 (IEPs), minimize paper work, and effectively schedule legally mandated special education
 activities.
- Integration and Collaboration between Special and General Education. Five questions assess the level to which the school integrates special education into its daily operations, encourages teaming between general and special education personnel, provides sufficient time for collaboration in service delivery, views service to students with IEPs as a shared responsibility among all staff, and promotes personnel participation in decision-making.
- Expectations and Supports for Special Populations. Five questions assess the level to which the school sets high expectations for students with IEPs; provides them, as needed, effective cultural and linguistic supports, alternative modes of communication, and complete state adopted instructional materials, and has sufficient resources to support special education programs and services.
- Personnel Supports. Seven questions assess a range of supports to special education staff:
 whether the school provides a positive working environment, relevant training for
 paraprofessionals, and adequate access to technology; whether it acknowledges their
 responsibilities and encourages them to continue in their roles; and whether it offers adequate
 compensation.

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION AND SAMPLE

The CSCS is an online survey administered in the same schools at the same time as the CHKS among all staff in grades 5 and above. Schools administered the survey following detailed instructions provided by

vi

¹ In some instances, the survey is also administered on paper.

CDE. Staff participation was totally voluntary, anonymous, and confidential. The tables in Section 1 (Sample Characteristics) provide the number of respondents who completed the survey, their roles at the school (e.g., teacher, administrator, counselor), length of employment at the school and in their position, and their race/ethnicity. The staff in the Special Education column came from all staff who took the survey and indicated that they provided services at any level, to Special Education students.

THE REPORT

The tables are organized into nine topical areas, as outlined in the Table of Contents. Summary tables at the beginning of the report (identified by the letter "S" before the table number), provide key indicators in each area for a quick overview. The results side-by-side for the three traditional school types — elementary (grades 1-6), middle (grades 7-8), and high schools (grades 9-12) — as well as for nontraditional continuation schools that serve students at risk of school failure (ungraded, with 16 years being the earliest age of admittance). The tables are especially useful for examining differences in school climate perceptions among special education staff between the major types of schools. Percentages are rounded off to the nearest whole number.

Addressing the Needs of Other Groups and Closing the Achievement Gap

This special education report is part of a larger effort to expand both the CHKS and CSCS to provide better data for identifying and meeting the needs of underperforming subgroups of students among California's diverse population of students, particularly in closing the achievement gap (CTAG) that has long persisted between white students and non-Asian minorities. Other supplementary reports are being prepared examining how survey results vary among students based on their race/ethnicity, whether they are participating in the migrant education program, and whether they report indicators of poor mental health (depression risk or suicide contemplation). Each report is designed to support special state programs.

AIDS TO UNDERSTANDING AND USING THE DATA

In addition to the *CSCS Survey Content Guidebook*, there are several other guides, workshops, and other aids to help you understand and use survey results. These are described and made available on the survey website. Two are particularly important.

- The CHKS *Data Use and Dissemination Guidebook* describes a step-by-step process for reviewing, analyzing, and disseminating your results as part of a data-driven decision making process for program improvement. Free call-in data use workshops for the CSCS are offered as well.
- A Workbook on Improving School Climate and Closing the Achievement Gap provides a practical guide to using the data in the framework of improving academic achievement and well-being among all students and closing the achievement gap. It provides examples of how to use the data to improve practice and policy within a special education program. A workshop accompanying this Workbook will be available in 2010.

Assessing the Data

Care must be taken to fully understand the survey, the context within which the data were collected, and the factors that can impact the quality, validity, and generalizability of the results. The following are a few

vii

² For reporting purposes, K-8 schools are coded as elementary.

of the key issues that should be kept in mind. A detailed discussion occurs in the CHKS Data Use and Dissemination Guidebook.

Representativeness

Among the most important factors affecting the quality of survey results is the level of staff participation. The validity and representativeness of the results will be adversely affected if the staff response rate is lower than 60%. One indication of the survey's representativeness is how accurately the characteristics of the sample (see Section 1) reflect the characteristics of the district's staff as indicated by personnel records. Compare the response rate for the SESM to the know number of staff involved in the special education program. Even if the response rate is low, the results provide an indication of what those staff who did respond felt about the school and their experiences and behavior. Discussed below are strategies for increasing participation.

Comparison Data: Statewide Results

Comparing district results to other local, regional, and state data provides a broader context with which to assess the local situation. They can help you determine whether your district results are unique or may be part of a larger trend. All district special education reports are being posted publicly on the CSCS website, as well as aggregated (combined) results for each regional Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) and statewide. Ultimately, however, the most fundamental concern should not be how you compare with others but your own satisfaction with the survey results and what they tell you about the functioning of the special education program in your district.

IMPROVING PARTICIPATION

The CSCS Survey Administration Guidebook provides strategies for improving voluntary staff participation, but one of the most important is raising awareness among staff of the value of the survey to the school and the value of staff perceptions to the district. To that end, it is important that staff are informed about the results and any school-improvement efforts the district is undertaken in response. As discussed below, it is also important to discuss the results and what should be done with them. Staff must perceive that their voice is heard and valued.

NEXT STEPS

Receiving this report is just a beginning step in the process of using data to improve the special education program. Recipients are urged to send copies of the report to the director of their regional Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA), and to review the findings with the SELPA director to identify the most significant results and their program implications. The following describes further actions that you can take.

Request School Reports

If the schools in the district vary significantly in demographics, programs, or other characteristics, consider requesting individual reports for each school (a fee applies).

Compare with Other Data Sources

CSCS results will be enriched if analyzed in the context of data from the California Healthy Kids Survey and other sources, particularly in identifying consistent patterns or disconnects that need to be further explored. Other data typically collected in effectiveness studies of school climate include number and kinds of discipline referrals, school demographic information, school vandalism costs, and behavioral observations in classrooms.

Discuss with Staff

Discuss the results with staff to explore their meaning in more depth. Obtain their input into how the school and the special education program might be improved. This is especially important because it communicates to staff that you value their input into how to improve the school climate and programs, and may help improve participation in the next survey.

Conduct Additional Analyses of The Dataset

The complete dataset is available electronically for additional analysis (there is a small fee for preparation). The dataset enables analyses of patterns in the results, how they are interrelated, and how they vary by different subgroups of staff and across schools within the district.

Add Questions to Your Next Surveys

Determine what additional information is needed from staff to guide school improvement efforts and add questions to your next CSCS or CHKS. Both surveys were designed so that schools can add additional questions to help them conduct a more individualized and comprehensive assessment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The CSCS was developed, and this report prepared for the district, by WestEd in collaboration with Duerr Evaluation Resources, under contract from the California Department of Education (CDE). For more survey information, call the toll-free helpline at 888.841.7536, or visit the website at http://www.wested.org/cscs.

Gregory Austin, Ph.D. CHKS/CSCS Director, WestEd

Mary Hudler, Director Special Education, California Department of Education

Summary Tables

Table S1
Positive Learning and Working Environment

	Percent Strongly Agreeing												
This school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %					
Learning Environment													
Is a supportive and inviting place for students to learn (T2.1)	32	21	61	36			16	18					
Sets high standards for academic performance for all (T2.2)	29	27	67	64			6	12					
Promotes academic success for all students (T2.3)	31	27	67	55			6	12					
Encourage students to enroll in rigorous course (T4.9)	19	27	28	36			16	24					
Emphasizes teaching lessons in ways relevant to students (T2.5)	26	28	50	60			9	12					
Working Environment													
Is a supportive and inviting place for staff to work (T2.6)	25	38	39	40			16	41					
Promotes trust and collegiality among staff (T2.9)	18	30	28	36			9	35					
Provides the materials, resources, and training to do job effectively (T2.11)	14	12	28	27			6	6					
Provides the materials, resources, and training to work with special education (IEP) students (T2.15)	11	9	17	20			6	6					
Safety, Facilities, and Parental Outreach													
Is a safe place for students (T2.17)	22	15	39	18			9	18					
Is a safe place for staff (T2.18)	27	15	44	18			16	18					
Has clean and well-maintained facilities and property (T2.19)	26	28	28	20			25	35					
Is welcoming to and facilitates parent involvement (T2.20)	23	25	33	36			13	19					

Table S2
Staff Collegiality and Sense of Mission

	Percent Selecting 'Nearly All Adults'											
How many adults at this school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %				
Have close professional relationships with one another (T2.10)	15	28	28	55			9	13				
Support and treat each other with respect (T2.8)	26	41	50	64			16	31				
Feel a responsibility to improve the school (T2.7)	29	28	44	36			22	19				

Table S3
Opportunities for Meaningful Student Participation (Student Developmental Supports)

	Percent Strongly Agreeing											
This school provides/encourages students	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %				
Opportunities to decide things (T3.6)	8	19	11	50			3	6				
Equal opportunity for classroom participation (T3.7)	31	41	67	73			6	31				
Opportunities to "make a difference" (help others) (T3.9)	12	22	22	27			9	24				
Equal opportunity to participate in extracurricular and enrichment activities (T3.8)	20	18	44	18			9	24				

Table S4
Positive Staff-Student Relationships and High Expectations (Student Developmental Supports)

	Percent Selecting Nearly All												
How many adults at this school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %					
Caring Relationships													
Want every student to do their best (T3.4)	45	56	67	91			31	31					
Acknowledge and pay attention to students (T3.2)	40	47	78	82			28	19					
Really care about every student (T3.1)	42	50	67	82			31	25					
High Expectations													
Listen to what students have to say (T3.3)	26	38	56	73			16	13					
Believe every student can be a success (T3.5)	26	44	44	91			19	13					

Table S5
Respect, Equity, Cultural Sensitivity, and the Achievement Gap

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly agree that this school								
Fosters an appreciation of student diversity and respect for each other (T4.1)	25	28	44	40			16	24
Emphasizes showing respect for all students' cultural beliefs and practices (T4.5)	31	31	50	40			16	29
Emphasizes using instructional materials that reflect the culture or ethnicity of its students (T4.6)	11	6	17	20			6	0
Has staff examine their own cultural biases through professional development or other processes (T4.7)	8	12	6	27			6	0
Considers closing the racial/ethnic achievement gap a high priority (T4.8)	22	30	33	36			16	29
Report that nearly all adults at this school								
Treat all students fairly (T4.3)	28	34	50	64			19	13
Treat every student with respect (T4.4)	31	44	44	73			22	19

Table S6
Student Learning Readiness and Motivation

	Percent Selecting Most/Nearly All											
How many students at this school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %				
Are motivated to learn (T5.1)	49	28	83	45			38	19				
Arrive at school alert and rested (T5.6)	52	42	78	73			44	18				
Are healthy and physically fit (T5.7)	58	42	78	64			56	35				
Are well-behaved (T5.4)	56	53	89	73			44	38				

Table S7
Student Behaviors Perceived as Posing a Problem at the School

	Percent Rated Moderate or Severe Problem												
How much of a problem at this school is	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %					
Engagement Indicators/Disruptive Behavior													
Disruptive behavior (T5.5)	68	73	39	73			81	76					
Cutting classes or being truant (T5.2)	48	30	6	9			72	53					
Depression or other mental health issues (T5.8)	29	12	22	18			25	12					
Lack of respect of staff by students (T5.3)	50	61	22	55			65	65					
Violence, Conflict, and Crime													
Racial/ethnic conflict among students (T4.2)	26	16	17	10			31	18					
Harassment or bullying (T6.1)	60	30	44	18			66	41					
Physical fighting (T6.2)	45	24	17	9			56	35					
Gang activity (T6.5)	32	15	6	0			45	24					
Vandalism and graffiti (T6.3)	31	12	0	0			45	18					
Theft (T6.4)	45	18	6	9			66	18					
Weapons possession at school (T6.6)	9	6	0	0			16	12					
Substance Use													
Alcohol and drug use (T6.7)	43	36	6	0			69	59					

Table S8

Discipline and Counseling

	Percent Strongly Agreeing											
This school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %				
Clearly communicates to students consequences of breaking rules (T7.1)	25	21	33	45			23	6				
Handles discipline problems fairly (T7.2)	14	15	28	18			6	12				
Provides adequate counseling and support services for students (T7.5)	14	15	28	18			6	12				

Table S9
Professional Development Needs

Perceive need for more PD in	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Instruction & School Environment								
Meeting academic standards (T2.12)	23	25	6	30			34	29
Evidence-based methods of instruction (T2.13)	35	34	22	40			41	41
Positive behavioral support and classroom management (T7.4)	38	31	11	30			52	35
Creating a positive school climate (T2.14)	49	47	28	60			56	41
Addressing Needs of Diverse Populations								
Working with diverse racial, ethnic, or cultural groups (T4.11)	41	38	39	40			42	41
Culturally relevant pedagogy for the school's student population (T4.12)	55	41	56	40			56	53
Serving English language learners (T4.13)	49	34	33	40			63	41
Closing the achievement gap (T4.10)	60	44	44	40			63	59
Providing Support Services								
Serving special education (IEP) students (T2.16)	50	34	22	20			69	47
Meeting the social, emotional, and developmental needs of youth (e.g., resilience promotion) (T3.10)	55	47	33	30			69	59

Table S10
Implementation of Learning Supports (Health, Safety, and Discipline)

		Ì	Percen	t Stro	ngly Ag	greein	ıg	
This school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Discipline and Safety								
Has sufficient resources to create a safe campus (T8.17)	10	8					0	20
Seeks to maintain a secure campus through such means as	3	0					0	0
metal detectors, security guards, or personal searches (T8.18)	3						U	
Collaborates well with law enforcement organizations (T8.13)	30	0					29	0
Punishes first-time violations of alcohol or other drug policies by at least an out-of-school suspension (T8.14)	38	25					21	60
Enforces zero tolerance policies (T8.15)	3	0					0	0
Considers sanctions for student violation of rules/policies on	14	8					14	20
case-by-case basis with a wide range of options (T8.12)	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					14	
Effectively handles student discipline and behavioral	10	0					7	0
problems (T8.16)								
Substance Use								
Considers substance abuse prevention an important goal	17	0					0	0
(T8.21) Has sufficient resources to address substance use prevention								
needs (T8.24)	7	8					0	20
Provides effective confidential support and referral services								
for students needing help due to substance abuse, violence, or	7	0					0	0
other problems (e.g., a Student Assistance Program) (T8.2)								
Collaborates well with community organizations to address	3	0					0	0
substance use or other problems (T8.3)								
Youth Development and Health	_							
Provides adequate health services for students (T8.7)	7	0					0	0
Provides healthy food choices for students (T8.8)	13	8					7	20
Emphasizes helping students with their social, emotional, and behavioral problems (T8.4)	13	0					0	0

Table S11
Level of Student Services Provided (Learning Supports Module)

		1	Percen	t Sele	cting "	A Lot	.,,	
To what extent does this school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Provide services for studies with disabilities or other special needs (T8.11)	43	25					57	40
Foster youth development, resilience, or asset promotion (T8.5)	0	0					0	0
Provide opportunities for physical education and activity (T8.10)	57	50					57	40
Provide conflict resolution or behavior management instruction (T8.20)	24	0					14	0
Provide character education (T8.6)	10	8					0	0
Provides alcohol or drug use prevention instruction (T8.22)	0	0					0	0
Provide tobacco use prevention instruction (T8.23)	3	0					0	0
Provide harassment or bullying prevention (T8.19)	7	0					0	0
Provide nutritional instruction (T8.9)	3	0					0	0

Table S12
Special Education Services and Supports (Special Education Supports Module)

Special Education Services and Supports (Special Education					ngly Ag	greein	ıg	
This school	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Barriers to Effective Service Delivery								
Works to reduce instructional interruptions (T9.6)	24	23	38	14			19	36
Works to minimize paperwork (T9.7)	13	18	23	14			8	27
Effectively schedules mandated activities (T9.8)	35	9	46	14			20	9
Integration and Collaboration Special-General Education								
Integrates special education into daily operations (T9.9)	40	27	46	29			31	36
Encourages general and special ed teaming (T9.10)	41	23	69	29			24	27
Provides sufficient time to collaborate on service delivery (T9.11)	14	9	38	14			4	9
Views services to students with IEPs as a shared staff responsibility (T9.12)	21	14	38	14			13	18
Promotes participation in school decision making (T9.13)	16	14	31	14			8	18
Student Expectations and Supports								
Sets high expectations for students with IEPs (T9.14)	27	14	38	14			16	18
Supports culturally and linguistically diverse students with IEPs (T9.15)	15	9	15	14			8	9
Supports alternative modes of communication (T9.16)	16	14	23	14			8	18
Provides complete state adopted instructional materials for students with IEPs (T9.17)	16	5	23	14			12	0
Provides sufficient resources for special ed programs and services (T9.18)	14	10	38	17			4	9
Personnel Supports								
Provides positive working environment (T9.19)	31	9	46	14			20	9
Acknowledges responsibilities of staff serving students with IEPs (T9.20)	18	14	38	14			12	18
Provides relevant paraprofessional training (T9.21)	10	5	8	14			4	0
Has a climate that encourages continued service (T9.22)	29	14	38	14			20	18
Provides adequate access to technology (T9.23)	18	9	38	14			8	9
Has good communication with personnel to support students with IEPs (T9.24)	18	9	31	14			8	9
Offers adequate compensation to support continued employment (T9.25)	10	18	23	14			4	27

I. Sample Characteristics

Table 1.1 Survey Sample

	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
Number of Respondents	65	33	18	11			32	17

Table 1.2

Role (Job) at School

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Teacher in grade 5 or above	62	84	56	50			53	100
Teacher in grade 4 or below	6	19	17	60			3	0
Special education teacher	14	0	0	0			25	0
Administrator	12	0	22	0			9	0
Prevention staff nurse or health aide	0	0	0	0			0	0
Counselor or psychologist	8	0	6	0			9	0
Police, resource officer, or safety personnel	0	0	0	0			0	0
Paraprofessional, teacher assistant, or instructional aide	0	0	0	0			0	0
Other certificated staff (e.g., librarian)	2	0	0	0			3	0
Other classified staff (e.g., janitorial, secretarial or clerical, food service)	5	0	0	0			9	0

Question 1: What is your role at this school?

Table 1.3 Special Population Service Providers

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Migrant education students	31	14	17	0			38	11
Special education	100	0	100	0			100	0
English language learners	88	100	100	100			84	100

Question 2: Do you provide services to the following types of students?

Table 1.4

Length of Employment at School

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Less than one year	11	9	11	9			13	12
1 to 2 years	12	9	17	9			16	12
3 to 5 years	26	21	33	0			22	24
6 to 10 years	35	39	22	36			44	41
Over 10 years	15	21	17	45			6	12

Question 3: How many years have you worked, in any position, at this school?

Table 1.5

Overall Length of Employment in Position

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Less than one year	0	3	0	0			0	6
1 to 2 years	5	9	0	18			9	6
3 to 5 years	28	18	33	0			34	29
6 to 10 years	34	27	33	18			38	35
Over 10 years	34	42	33	64			19	24

Question 4: How many years have you worked at any school in your current position (e.g., teacher, counselor, administrator, food service)?

Table 1.6
Race/Ethnicity of Respondents

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
African American (Not Hispanic)	8	3	11	0			9	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	6	0	0			0	6
Asian or Pacific Islander	6	10	6	10			6	6
White (Not Hispanic)	78	71	78	80			75	75
Hispanic or Latino/a	6	3	6	0			6	6
Other or Multi-ethnic	2	6	0	10			3	6

Question 5: What is your race/ethnicity?

II. The Learning and Working Environment

Student Learning Environment

Table 2.1

Learning Environment is Supportive and Inviting

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	32	21	61	36			16	18
Agree	62	73	39	64			75	76
Disagree	6	3	0	0			9	6
Strongly Disagree	0	3	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 6: This school is a supportive and inviting place for students to learn.

Table 2.2
Sets High Standards for Academic Performance for All Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	29	27	67	64			6	12
Agree	51	55	28	36			69	59
Disagree	17	15	6	0			19	29
Strongly Disagree	3	3	0	0			6	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 7: This school sets high standards for academic performance for all.

Table 2.3

Promotes Academic Success for All Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	31	27	67	55			6	12
Agree	52	64	28	45			66	76
Disagree	15	9	6	0			25	12
Strongly Disagree	2	0	0	0			3	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 8: The school promotes academic success for all students

Table 2.4
Emphasizes Academic Help When Needed

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	35	27	61	36			16	24
Agree	55	64	39	64			72	65
Disagree	9	6	0	0			13	12
Strongly Disagree	0	3	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 9: This school emphasizes helping students academically when they need it.

Table 2.5
Teaches Lessons Relevant to Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	26	28	50	60			9	12
Agree	68	69	44	40			84	88
Disagree	6	0	6	0			6	0
Strongly Disagree	0	3	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 11: This school emphasizes teaching lessons in ways relevant to students.

Staff Working Environment

Table 2.6
Supportive and Inviting Place to Work

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	25	38	39	40			16	41
Agree	56	47	39	50			68	47
Disagree	17	9	22	10			13	6
Strongly Disagree	2	6	0	0			3	6
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 12: The school is a supportive and inviting place for staff to work.

Table 2.7
Staff Feel Responsibility to Improve School

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	29	28	44	36			22	19
Most	42	50	39	36			50	63
Some	26	13	11	18			28	13
Few	3	9	6	9			0	6
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 42: How many adults at this school feel a responsibility to improve this school?

Table 2.8
Staff Support and Treat Each Other With Respect

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	26	41	50	64			16	31
Most	58	44	33	18			75	56
Some	15	13	17	9			9	13
Few	0	3	0	9			0	0
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 41: How many adults at this school support and treat each other with respect?

Table 2.9

Promotes Staff Trust and Collegiality

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	18	30	28	36			9	35
Agree	63	52	56	45			78	59
Disagree	18	12	17	18			13	0
Strongly Disagree	0	6	0	0			0	6
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 13: This school promotes trust and collegiality among staff.

Table 2.10 Staff have Close Professional Relationships

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	15	28	28	55			9	13
Most	57	44	56	18			69	56
Some	25	19	11	9			22	31
Few	3	9	6	18			0	0
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 40: How many adults at this school have close professional relationships with one another?

General Staff Supports and Professional Development

Table 2.11 School Provides Staff Resources and PD to Do Job Effectively

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	14	12	28	27			6	6
Agree	52	55	50	55			47	47
Disagree	32	30	22	18			44	47
Strongly Disagree	2	3	0	0			3	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 14: This school provides the materials, resources, and training (professional development) needed to do your job effectively.

Table 2.12

Need PD in Meeting Academic Standards

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	23	25	6	30			34	29
No	63	75	89	70			50	71
Not Applicable	14	0	6	0			16	0

Question 43: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas...meeting academic standards?

Table 2.13
Need PD in Instructional Methods

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	35	34	22	40			41	41
No	52	66	72	60			44	59
Not Applicable	12	0	6	0			16	0

Question 44: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas...evidence-based methods of instruction?

Table 2.14

Need PD in Creating a Positive School Climate

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	49	47	28	60			56	41
No	49	53	72	40			44	59
Not Applicable	2	0	0	0			0	0

Question 52: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....creating a positive school climate?

Special Education Supports and Professional Development

Table 2.15

Provides Resources Needed to Work With Special Education Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	11	9	17	20			6	6
Agree	48	53	39	50			50	53
Disagree	35	16	33	0			38	29
Strongly Disagree	2	3	0	0			3	0
Not Applicable	5	19	11	30			3	12

Question 15: This school provides the materials, resources, and training (professional development) needed to work with special education (IEP) students.

Table 2.16
Need PD for Serving Special Education (IEP) Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	50	34	22	20			69	47
No	47	56	78	60			28	47
Not Applicable	3	9	0	20			3	6

Question 50: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....serving special education (IEP) students?

Perceived School Safety

Table 2.17
Safe Place for Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	22	15	39	18			9	18
Agree	66	70	50	73			81	65
Disagree	8	9	6	9			6	12
Strongly Disagree	5	6	6	0			3	6
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 29: The school is a safe place for students.

Table 2.18
Safe Place for Staff

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	27	15	44	18			16	18
Agree	64	70	50	82			77	65
Disagree	8	12	6	0			6	18
Strongly Disagree	2	3	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 30: The school is a safe place for staff.

Facilities

Table 2.19
Clean and Well-maintained Facilities and Property

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	26	28	28	20			25	35
Agree	52	63	44	80			56	53
Disagree	15	6	17	0			16	12
Strongly Disagree	6	3	11	0			3	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 32: This school has clean and well-maintained facilities and property.

Parent Involvement

Table 2.20 Encourages Parental Involvement

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	23	25	33	36			13	19
Agree	53	59	56	55			52	63
Disagree	19	6	6	9			32	6
Strongly Disagree	2	6	0	0			0	6
Not Applicable	3	3	6	0			3	6

Question 31: This school is welcoming to and facilitates parent involvement.

III. Student Developmental Supports and Opportunities Caring Relationships

Table 3.1

Adults Really Care About All Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	42	50	67	82			31	25
Most	54	34	33	9			66	56
Some	5	16	0	9			3	19
Few	0	0	0	0			0	0
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 33: How many adults at this school really care about every student?

Table 3.2

Adults Acknowledge and Pay Attention to Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	40	47	78	82			28	19
Most	54	38	22	9			69	63
Some	6	16	0	9			3	19
Few	0	0	0	0			0	0
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 34: How many adults at this school acknowledge and pay attention to students?

Table 3.3

Adults Listen to What Students Have to Say

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	26	38	56	73			16	13
Most	49	38	39	18			63	50
Some	23	25	6	9			19	38
Few	2	0	0	0			3	0
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 36: How many adults at this school listen to what students have to say?

High Expectations

Table 3.4

Adults Want All Students to Do Their Best

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	45	56	67	91			31	31
Most	43	34	28	0			53	63
Some	12	9	6	9			16	6
Few	0	0	0	0			0	0
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 35: How many adults at this school want all students to do their best?

Table 3.5

Adults Believe Every Student Can Be a Success

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	26	44	44	91			19	13
Most	52	34	50	0			56	56
Some	22	19	6	9			25	31
Few	0	0	0	0			0	0
Almost None	0	3	0	0			0	0

Question 37: How many adults at this school believe that every student can be a success?

Opportunities for Meaningful Participation

Table 3.6
Encourages Decision Opportunities for Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	8	19	11	50			3	6
Agree	65	53	67	40			63	59
Disagree	26	16	22	0			31	29
Strongly Disagree	0	6	0	0			0	6
Not Applicable	2	6	0	10			3	0

Question 16: The school encourages opportunities for students to decide things like class activities or rules.

Table 3.7

Gives Equal Opportunity for Classroom Participation

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	31	41	67	73			6	31
Agree	68	53	33	27			91	63
Disagree	0	3	0	0			0	6
Strongly Disagree	0	3	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	2	0	0	0			3	0

Question 17: This school gives all students equal opportunity to participate in classroom discussions or activities

Table 3.8
Gives Equal Access to Extracurricular and Enrichment Activities

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	20	18	44	18			9	24
Agree	54	64	17	73			69	53
Disagree	18	9	28	9			19	6
Strongly Disagree	2	6	6	0			0	12
Not Applicable	6	3	6	0			3	6

Question 18: This school gives all students equal opportunity to participate in numerous extracurricular and enrichment activities.

Table 3.9

Gives Opportunities to Make A Difference (Help Others)

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	12	22	22	27			9	24
Agree	52	59	17	55			63	59
Disagree	32	6	50	9			28	6
Strongly Disagree	0	6	0	0			0	6
Not Applicable	3	6	11	9			0	6

Question 19: This school gives students opportunities to make a difference by helping other people, the school, or the community (e.g., service learning).

Professional Development Needs

Table 3.10 Need PD on Meeting Social, Emotional, and Developmental Needs of Youth.

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	55	47	33	30			69	59
No	42	53	61	70			31	41
Not Applicable	3	0	6	0			0	0

Question 51: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....meeting the social, emotional, and developmental needs of youth (e.g., resilience promotion?

IV. Respect, Cultural Sensitivity, and the Achievement Gap Tolerance Among Students

Table 4.1
Fosters Appreciation for Student Diversity and Mutual Respect

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	25	28	44	40			16	24
Agree	68	56	44	60			78	53
Disagree	6	6	6	0			6	12
Strongly Disagree	2	6	6	0			0	6
Not Applicable	0	3	0	0			0	6

Question 24: The school fosters an appreciation of student diversity and respect for each other.

Table 4.2

Racial/Ethnic Conflict Among Students is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	29	28	56	40			16	24
Mild Problem	45	56	28	50			53	59
Moderate Problem	23	16	17	10			28	18
Severe Problem	3	0	0	0			3	0

Question 62: How much of a problem at this school is racial/ethnic conflict among students?

Treating Students Fairly and Respectfully

Table 4.3
Staff Treat All Students Fairly

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	28	34	50	64			19	13
Most	52	44	44	18			53	63
Some	20	16	6	18			28	19
Few	0	6	0	0			0	6
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 38: How many adults at this school treat all students fairly?

Table 4.4
Staff Treat Students with Respect

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	31	44	44	73			22	19
Most	51	38	56	18			53	56
Some	18	13	0	9			25	19
Few	0	6	0	0			0	6
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question: 39: How many adults at this school treat every student with respect?

Cultural Sensitivity

Table 4.5
Students Cultural Beliefs and Practices Respected

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	31	31	50	40			16	29
Agree	65	56	44	60			78	59
Disagree	3	6	0	0			6	6
Strongly Disagree	2	3	6	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	3	0	0			0	6

Question 25: This school emphasizes showing respect for all students' cultural beliefs and practices.

Table 4.6
Use Culturally Relevant Instructional Materials

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	11	6	17	20			6	0
Agree	46	59	44	60			47	53
Disagree	35	25	33	20			38	35
Strongly Disagree	0	3	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	8	6	6	0			9	12

Question 21: This school emphasizes using instructional materials that reflect the culture or ethnicity of its students.

Table 4.7
Staff Examine Their Cultural Biases

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	8	12	6	27			6	0
Agree	38	52	50	45			41	53
Disagree	43	24	28	27			47	29
Strongly Disagree	9	9	17	0			6	12
Not Applicable	2	3	0	0			0	6

Question 22: This school has staff examine their own cultural biases through professional development or other processes.

Instructional Equity

Table 4.8

Closing the Achievement Gap is a High Priority

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	22	30	33	36			16	29
Agree	60	42	61	45			56	41
Disagree	14	18	0	9			25	24
Strongly Disagree	3	3	6	0			0	0
Not Applicable	2	6	0	9			3	6

Question 23: This school considers closing the racial/ethnic achievement gap a high priority.

Table 4.9
Encourages All Students to Take Rigorous Courses

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	19	27	28	36			16	24
Agree	48	42	28	27			65	53
Disagree	9	6	6	9			6	6
Strongly Disagree	5	9	0	0			10	12
Not Applicable	19	15	39	27			3	6

Question 20: This school encourages students to enroll in rigorous courses (such as honors and AP), regardless of their race, ethnical

Professional Development Needs

Table 4.10

Need PD on Closing the Achievement Gap

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	60	44	44	40			63	59
No	35	56	56	60			31	41
Not Applicable	5	0	0	0			6	0

Question 49: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....closing the achievement gap.

Table 4.11
Need PD on Working with Diverse Populations

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	41	38	39	40			42	41
No	58	63	61	60			58	59
Not Applicable	2	0	0	0			0	0

Question 46: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....working with diverse racial, ethnic, or cultural groups?

Table 4.12

Need PD on Culturally Relevant Pedagogy

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	55	41	56	40			56	53
No	39	59	39	60			38	47
Not Applicable	6	0	6	0			6	0

Question 47: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....culturally relevant pedagogy for the school's student population.

Table 4.13
Need PD on Serving English Language Learners

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	49	34	33	40			63	41
No	45	63	61	60			31	53
Not Applicable	6	3	6	0			6	6

Question 48: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....serving English Language Learners.

V. Learning Readiness and Engagement Indicators

Learning Motivation and Truancy

Table 5.1
Students Are Motivated to Learn

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	6	3	17	9			0	0
Most	43	25	67	36			38	19
Some	35	56	17	45			44	63
Few	15	16	0	9			19	19
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 55: Based on your experience, how many students at this school are motivated to learn?

Table 5.2

Cutting Class or Truancy is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	23	30	56	55			6	18
Mild Problem	29	39	39	36			22	29
Moderate Problem	37	21	6	9			50	35
Severe Problem	11	9	0	0			22	18

Question 65: How much of a problem at this school is cutting classes or being truant?

General Behavior

Table 5.3

Lack of Respect of Staff by Students is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	22	21	44	36			3	12
Mild Problem	28	18	33	9			32	24
Moderate Problem	28	39	11	45			35	41
Severe Problem	22	21	11	9			29	24

Question 64: How much of a problem at this school is lack of respect of staff by students?

Key: SE=Special Education, TD=Total District, ES=Elementary, MS=Middle, HS=High

Table 5.4

How Many Students Well-Behaved

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	11	3	39	9			0	0
Most	45	50	50	64			44	38
Some	34	31	11	18			41	44
Few	9	16	0	9			16	19
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 56: Based on your experience, how many students at this school are well-behaved?

Table 5.5

Disruptive Student Behavior is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	6	9	6	9			3	6
Mild Problem	26	18	56	18			16	18
Moderate Problem	34	52	28	64			31	47
Severe Problem	34	21	11	9			50	29

Question 61: How much of a problem at this school is disruptive student behavior?

Perceived Physical and Mental Health

Table 5.6
Students Arrive at School Alert and Rested

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	8	3	17	9			0	0
Most	45	39	61	64			44	18
Some	40	42	17	18			47	59
Few	8	15	6	9			9	24
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 54: Based on your experience, how many students at this school arrive at school alert and rested?

Table 5.7
Students Are Healthy and Physically Fit

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Nearly All	6	3	11	9			0	0
Most	52	39	67	55			56	35
Some	37	52	11	36			44	53
Few	5	6	11	0			0	12
Almost None	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 53: Based on your experience, how many students at this school are healthy and physically fit?

Table 5.8
Student Depression or Other Mental Health Issues are a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	17	36	28	45			6	24
Mild Problem	54	52	50	36			69	65
Moderate Problem	22	9	11	18			19	6
Severe Problem	8	3	11	0			6	6

Question 63: How much of a problem at this school is student depression or other mental health problems?

VI. Perceived Student Risk Behavior Student Fighting and Bullying

Table 6.1

Harassment or Bullying Among Students is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	3	15	0	18			3	12
Mild Problem	37	55	56	64			31	47
Moderate Problem	49	18	39	9			50	29
Severe Problem	11	12	6	9			16	12

Question 59: How much of a problem at this school is harassment or bullying among students?

Table 6.2

Physical Fighting Between Students is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	14	15	28	18			3	6
Mild Problem	41	61	56	73			41	59
Moderate Problem	36	21	11	9			47	35
Severe Problem	9	3	6	0			9	0

Question 60: How much of a problem at this school is physical fighting between students?

Delinquency

Table 6.3 Vandalism (including Graffiti) is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	34	39	83	64			3	29
Mild Problem	34	48	17	36			52	53
Moderate Problem	27	12	0	0			39	18
Severe Problem	5	0	0	0			6	0

Question 68: How much of a problem at this school is vandalism (including graffiti)?

Table 6.4

Theft is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	28	24	56	45			9	12
Mild Problem	28	58	39	45			25	71
Moderate Problem	34	18	6	9			47	18
Severe Problem	11	0	0	0			19	0

Question 69: How much of a problem at this school is theft?

Table 6.5

Gang-Related Activity is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	33	52	78	100			10	24
Mild Problem	35	33	17	0			45	53
Moderate Problem	22	9	6	0			26	12
Severe Problem	10	6	0	0			19	12

Question 66: How much of a problem at this school is gang-related activity?

Table 6.6
Weapons Possession is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	46	61	100	100			16	29
Mild Problem	45	33	0	0			69	59
Moderate Problem	9	6	0	0			16	12
Severe Problem	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 67: How much of a problem at this school is weapons possession?

Substance Use

Table 6.7
Student Alcohol and Drug Use is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	28	45	72	100			3	12
Mild Problem	29	18	22	0			28	29
Moderate Problem	29	33	6	0			44	53
Severe Problem	14	3	0	0			25	6

Question 57: How much of a problem at this school is student alcohol and drug use?

Table 6.8
Student Tobacco Use is a Problem

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Insignificant Problem	35	55	94	100			3	18
Mild Problem	32	27	6	0			47	53
Moderate Problem	25	15	0	0			41	24
Severe Problem	8	3	0	0			9	6

Question 58: How much of a problem at this school is tobacco use?

VII. Discipline & Counseling

Table 7.1

Consequences of Breaking Rules Communicated Clearly

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	25	21	33	45			23	6
Agree	56	42	44	27			65	53
Disagree	14	21	17	27			13	18
Strongly Disagree	5	15	6	0			0	24
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 26: The school clearly communicates to students the consequences of breaking school rules.

Table 7.2

Handles Discipline Problems Fairly

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	14	15	28	18			6	12
Agree	57	45	44	55			63	41
Disagree	23	27	17	18			28	35
Strongly Disagree	6	12	11	9			3	12
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 27: The school handles discipline problems fairly.

Table 7.3

Handles Student Discipline and Behavioral Problems Effectively

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	14	12	33	18			6	6
Agree	49	33	28	36			56	24
Neither Agree nor Disagree	28	39	28	36			31	53
Disagree	9	15	11	9			6	18
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 28: This school effectively handles student discipline and behavioral problems.

Table 7.4

Need PD on Positive Behavior Support and Classroom Management

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Yes	38	31	11	30			52	35
No	58	69	89	70			45	65
Not Applicable	5	0	0	0			3	0

Question 45: Do you feel that you need more professional development, training, mentorship or other support to do your job in any of the following areas....positive behavioral support and classroom management?

Table 7.5

Provides Adequate Counseling and Support for Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	14	15	28	18			6	12
Agree	43	42	22	45			53	41
Disagree	28	30	33	36			25	29
Strongly Disagree	14	9	11	0			16	12
Not Applicable	2	3	6	0			0	6

Question 10: The school provides adequate counseling and support services for students.

VIII. Learning Supports Module: Student Services and Policies

Module Respondents

Table 8.1 *Module Sample*

	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
Number of Respondents	30	13					14	6

Counseling and Intervention Services

Table 8.2

Provides Effective Confidential Support and Referral Services for Students Needing Help

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	7	0					0	0
Agree	23	20					21	0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	43	50					50	75
Disagree	23	30					21	25
Strongly Disagree	3	0					7	0

Question 2.09: This school provides effective confidential support and referral services for students needing help because of substance abuse, violence, or other problems (e.g., Student Assistance Program).

Table 8.3
Collaborates Well with Community Organizations to Address Student Problems

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	3	0					0	0
Agree	33	23					36	0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	47	54					57	83
Disagree	13	23					7	17
Strongly Disagree	3	0					0	0

Question 2.01: This school collaborates well with community organizations to help address substance use or other problems.

Youth Development

Table 8.4
Emphasizes Helping Students with Social, Emotional, and Behavioral Problems

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	13	0					0	0
Agree	50	58					50	60
Neither Agree nor Disagree	20	17					36	20
Disagree	13	25					14	20
Strongly Disagree	3	0					0	0

Question 2.14: This school emphasizes helping students with their social, emotional, and behavioral problems?

Table 8.5
Fosters Youth Development, Resilience, or Asset Promotion

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	0	0					0	0
Some	48	58					43	60
Not Much	41	33					57	40
Not At All	10	8					0	0

Question 2.15: To what extent does this school foster youth development, resilience, or asset promotion?

Table 8.6 Provides Character Education

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	10	8					0	0
Some	47	42					36	60
Not Much	33	33					50	40
Not At All	10	17					14	0

Question 2.21: To what extent does this school provide character education?

Health Services and Physical Activity

Table 8.7

Provides Adequate Health Services for Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	7	0					0	0
Agree	17	36					21	20
Neither Agree nor Disagree	23	0					29	0
Disagree	50	55					50	80
Strongly Disagree	3	9					0	0

Question 2.12: The school provides adequate health services for students.

Table 8.8

Provides Healthy Food Choices for Student

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	13	8					7	20
Agree	40	58					43	60
Neither Agree nor Disagree	23	8					29	0
Disagree	13	25					21	20
Strongly Disagree	10	0					0	0

Question 2.13: The school provides students with healthy food choices.

Table 8.9
Provides Nutritional Instruction to Students

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	3	0					0	0
Some	30	42					29	40
Not Much	60	50					57	60
Not At All	7	8					14	0

Question 2.16: To what extent does this school provide nutritional instruction?

Table 8.10

Provides Opportunities for Physical Education and Activity

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	57	50					57	40
Some	40	50					43	60
Not Much	3	0					0	0
Not At All	0	0					0	0

Question 2.17: To what extent does this school provide opportunities for physical education and activity?

Special Education

Table 8.11 Provides Services for Students with Disabilities or Other Special Needs

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	43	25					57	40
Some	57	50					43	60
Not Much	0	25					0	0
Not At All	0	0					0	0

Question 2.23: To what extent does this school provide services for students with disabilities or other special needs?

Discipline Policies and Enforcement

Table 8.12 Sanctions Handled Case-by-Case

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	14	8					14	20
Agree	45	58					43	40
Neither Agree nor Disagree	31	0					36	0
Disagree	10	8					7	0
Strongly Disagree	0	25					0	40

Question 2.05: This school considers sanctions for student violations of rules/policies on case-by-case basis with a wide range of options.

Key: SE=Special Education, TD=Total District, ES=Elementary, MS=Middle, HS=High

Table 8.13
Collaborates Well With Law Enforcement

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	30	0					29	0
Agree	47	62					57	50
Neither Agree nor Disagree	17	38					14	50
Disagree	3	0					0	0
Strongly Disagree	3	0					0	0

Question 2.02: This school collaborates well with law enforcement organizations.

Table 8.14
Suspends for First-time Substance Use Violations

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	38	25					21	60
Agree	45	42					50	0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	10	8					14	0
Disagree	3	17					7	20
Strongly Disagree	3	8					7	20

Question 2.06: This school punishes first-time violations of alcohol or other drug policies by at least an out-of-school suspension.

Table 8.15
Enforces Zero Tolerance Policy

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	3	0					0	0
Agree	34	42					43	20
Neither Agree nor Disagree	34	17					29	40
Disagree	21	25					21	20
Strongly Disagree	7	17					7	20

Question 2.07: This school enforces zero tolerance policies.

Table 8.16

Handles Discipline and Behavioral Problems Effectively

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	10	0					7	0
Agree	47	25					50	20
Neither Agree nor Disagree	17	17					21	0
Disagree	17	42					21	60
Strongly Disagree	10	17					0	20

Question 2.10: This school effectively handles student discipline and behavioral problems.

Safety Promotion & Violence Prevention

Table 8.17
Resources Sufficient to Create a Safe Campus

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	10	8					0	20
Agree	40	58					36	20
Neither Agree nor Disagree	33	8					43	20
Disagree	17	17					21	40
Strongly Disagree	0	8					0	0

Question 2.03: This school has sufficient resources to create a safe campus.

Table 8.18
Seeks to Maintain Secure Campus

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	3	0					0	0
Agree	14	25					29	40
Neither Agree nor Disagree	24	25					36	0
Disagree	28	33					14	40
Strongly Disagree	31	17					21	20

Question 2.08: This school seeks to maintain a secure campus through such means as metal detectors, security guards, or personal searches.

Key: SE=Special Education, TD=Total District, ES=Elementary, MS=Middle, HS=High

Table 8.19
Provides Harassment or Bullying Prevention

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	7	0					0	0
Some	40	33					29	60
Not Much	40	50					50	40
Not At All	13	17					21	0

Question 2.22: To what extent does this school provide harassment or bullying prevention?

Table 8.20 Provides Conflict Resolution or Behavior Management Instruction

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	24	0					14	0
Some	48	42					43	60
Not Much	28	50					43	40
Not At All	0	8					0	0

Question 2.20: To what extent does this school provide conflict resolution or behavior management instruction?

Substance Abuse Prevention

Table 8.21
Considers Substance Abuse Prevention an Important Goal

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	17	0					0	0
Agree	30	25					21	20
Neither Agree nor Disagree	37	50					50	40
Disagree	13	17					21	20
Strongly Disagree	3	8					7	20

Question 2.11: This school considers substance abuse prevention an important goal.

Table 8.22

Provides Alcohol or Drug Use Prevention Instruction

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	0	0					0	0
Some	43	25					36	40
Not Much	43	67					50	60
Not At All	13	8					14	0

Question 2.18: To what extent does this school provide alcohol or drug use prevention instruction?

Table 8.23
Provides Tobacco Use Prevention Instruction

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
A Lot	3	0					0	0
Some	30	27					14	40
Not Much	53	64					71	60
Not At All	13	9					14	0

Question 2.19: This school provides tobacco use prevention instruction.

Table 8.24
Resources Sufficient for Substance Abuse Prevention Needs

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	7	8					0	20
Agree	23	33					14	20
Neither Agree nor Disagree	27	25					43	20
Disagree	40	33					43	40
Strongly Disagree	3	0					0	0

Question 2.04: This school has sufficient resources to address substance use prevention needs.

IX. Special Education Supports Module

Respondent Characteristics and Background

Table 9.1 *Module Sample*

	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
Number of Respondents	51	22	13	7			26	11

Table 9.2 Highest Degree Level Achieved

	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
Associate	2	0	0	0			4	0
Bachelors	37	45	46	29			31	45
Masters	57	55	54	71			62	55
Doctorate	2	0	0	0			4	0
None	2	0	0	0			0	0

Question 3.1: What is your highest degree level?

Table 9.3

Credential(s) Currently Held

Creuentiai(s) Currently Heta								
	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
Multiple Subjects	51	41	92	100			29	9
Single Subjects	38	59	0	0			54	91
Education Specialist Instruction	6	0	0	0			13	0
Pupil Personnel Services	0	0	0	0			0	0
Administrative Services {CK}	2	0	8	0			0	0
Other Related Services	0	0	0	0			0	0
Other (e.g., Adapted PE)	0	0	0	0			0	0
None	2	0	0	0			4	0

Question 3.2: What credential(s) do you currently hold? (Mark all that apply)

Table 9.4

Highest Credential or Permit for Current Position

	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
Professional Clear (level 2)	92	95	100	86			85	100
Preliminary (level 1)	4	5	0	14			8	0
Provisional Internship Credential	2	0	0	0			4	0
Provisional Internship Permit	0	0	0	0			0	0
Short Term Staff Permit	0	0	0	0			0	0
Other (e.g., waver)	0	0	0	0			0	0
High School Diploma/GED	2	0	0	0			4	0

Question 3.3: What is the highest level of the credential or permit for your current position?

Table 9.5 *Primary Service Setting*

	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
General Education (GE) Classroom	58	86	62	86			54	91
Resource Specialist Classroom	32	9	38	0			31	9
Special Education (SE) Self-Contained/Special Day Class	6	0	0	0			8	0
Pull-out Program for Specialized Service	2	0	0	0			4	0
Learning Center	0	0	0	0			0	0
Other	2	5	0	14			4	0

Question 3.4: What best describes the PRIMARY service setting for students with IEPs that you serve?

Barriers to Effective Service Delivery

Table 9.6
Works to Reduce Instructional Interruptions

The state of the s								
	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	24	23	38	14			19	36
Agree	58	68	46	86			58	55
Disagree	12	9	8	0			15	9
Strongly Disagree	2	0	8	0			0	0
Not Applicable	4	0	0	0			8	0

Question 3.06: This school works to reduce interruptions to instruction for students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs).

Table 9.7
Works to Minimize Paperwork

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	13	18	23	14			8	27
Agree	40	55	31	43			29	55
Disagree	27	23	31	29			29	18
Strongly Disagree	8	5	0	14			17	0
Not Applicable	13	0	15	0			17	0

Question 3.07: This school takes steps to minimize required paperwork.

Table 9.8

Effectively Schedules Mandated Activities

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	35	9	46	14			20	9
Agree	59	73	54	71			68	73
Disagree	4	9	0	0			8	9
Strongly Disagree	0	5	0	0			0	9
Not Applicable	2	5	0	14			4	0

Question 3.10: This school effectively schedules legally mandated special education activities (e.g., assessments, behavior supports, mandated meetings with parents)..

Integration and Collaboration between Special and General Education

Table 9.9
Integrates Special Ed into Daily Operations

<u> </u>	SETD	TD	SEES	ES	SEMS	MS	SEHS	HS
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Strongly Agree	40	27	46	29			31	36
Agree	52	68	54	71			58	64
Disagree	6	5	0	0			8	0
Strongly Disagree	2	0	0	0			4	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 3.05: This school integrates special education into its daily operations..

Table 9.10
Encourages General and Special Ed Teaming

8 1 3								
	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	41	23	69	29			24	27
Agree	37	59	23	43			40	64
Disagree	20	14	0	29			36	9
Strongly Disagree	2	5	8	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 3.08: This school encourages teaming between general and special education personnel.

Table 9.11

Provides Sufficient Time to Collaborate on Service Delivery

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	14	9	38	14			4	9
Agree	24	68	23	71			28	64
Disagree	51	14	31	14			56	18
Strongly Disagree	10	9	8	0			12	9
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 3.09: This school provides sufficient time to collaborate with colleagues regarding services to students with IEPs..

Table 9.12

Views Service to Students with IEPs as Shared Staff Responsibility

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %		
Strongly Agree	21	14	38	14			13	18		
Agree	52	73	46	57			46	82		
Disagree	21	9	8	29			33	0		
Strongly Disagree	6	5	8	0			8	0		
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0		

Question 3.22: This school views service to students with IEPs as a shared responsibility among all staff..

Table 9.13

Promotes Participation in School Decision Making

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	16	14	31	14			8	18
Agree	55	59	38	57			64	55
Disagree	16	18	0	29			20	18
Strongly Disagree	8	9	23	0			4	9
Not Applicable	4	0	8	0			4	0

Question 3.24: This school promotes personnel participation in decision-making that affects school practices and policies.

Expectations and Supports for Special Populations

Table 9.14

High Expectations for Students with IEPs

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	27	14	38	14			16	18
Agree	54	73	46	86			60	64
Disagree	17	14	15	0			20	18
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	2	0	0	0			4	0

Question 3.14: This school sets high expectations for students with IEPs.

Table 9.15
Supports Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Students with IEPs

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	15	9	15	14			8	9
Agree	40	68	46	71			32	64
Disagree	33	18	23	14			44	18
Strongly Disagree	2	0	0	0			4	0
Not Applicable	10	5	15	0			12	9

Question 3.15: This school provides effective supports for teaching culturally and linguistically diverse students with IEPs.

Table 9.16
Supports Alternative Modes of Communication

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	16	14	23	14			8	18
Agree	51	64	46	57			56	64
Disagree	8	18	8	14			8	18
Strongly Disagree	2	0	0	0			4	0
Not Applicable	22	5	23	14			24	0

Question 3.16: This school provides effective supports for students needing alternative modes of communication (e.g., manual signs, communication boards, computer-based devices, picture exchange systems, Braille).

Table 9.17

Provides Complete State Adopted Instructional Materials for Students with IEPs

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	16	5	23	14			12	0
Agree	51	73	54	57			40	82
Disagree	22	9	15	14			32	9
Strongly Disagree	4	0	0	0			8	0
Not Applicable	6	14	8	14			8	9

Question 3.18: This school provides complete state adopted instructional materials for students with IEPs).

Table 9.18
Provides Sufficient Resources for Special Ed Programs and Services

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	14	10	38	17			4	9
Agree	47	62	38	50			52	64
Disagree	27	19	8	17			28	27
Strongly Disagree	10	5	8	0			16	0
Not Applicable	2	5	8	17			0	0

Question 3.23: This school has sufficient resources to support special education programs and services.

Personnel Supports

Table 9.19
Has Positive Working Environment for Staff Serving Students with IEPs

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	31	9	46	14			20	9
Agree	61	82	46	86			72	82
Disagree	8	9	8	0			8	9
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 3.11: This provides a positive working environment for staff who serve students with IEPs..

Table 9.20 Acknowledges Responsibilities of Staff Serving Students with IEPs

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	18	14	38	14			12	18
Agree	63	82	46	86			68	82
Disagree	16	0	15	0			16	0
Strongly Disagree	2	5	0	0			4	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 3.12: This school acknowledges the responsibilities for staff who serve students with IEPs..

Table 9.21
Provides Relevant Paraprofessional Training

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	10	5	8	14			4	0
Agree	38	68	25	57			40	73
Disagree	13	0	8	0			12	0
Strongly Disagree	4	5	0	14			8	0
Not Applicable	35	23	58	14			36	27

Question 3.13: This school provides relevant training for paraprofessionals.

Table 9.22 Climate Encourages Continued Service

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	29	14	38	14			20	18
Agree	61	73	54	86			64	64
Disagree	10	14	8	0			16	18
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0			0	0

Question 3.17: This school has a climate that encourages me to continue in my role of service to students with IEPs..

Table 9.23

Provides Adequate Access to Technology

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	18	9	38	14			8	9
Agree	53	55	46	43			52	55
Disagree	20	27	8	29			28	27
Strongly Disagree	2	0	0	0			4	0
Not Applicable	6	9	8	14			8	9

Question 3.19: This school provides adequate access to technology for staff who serve students with IEPs.

Table 9.24

Has Good Communication with District Personnel

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	18	9	31	14			8	9
Agree	51	64	31	57			60	64
Disagree	16	18	8	29			20	9
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	0			0	0
Not Applicable	14	9	31	0			12	18

Question 3.20: This school has good communication with district personnel to support students with IEPs.

Table 9.25

Provides Adequate Compensation

	SETD %	TD %	SEES %	ES %	SEMS %	MS %	SEHS %	HS %
Strongly Agree	10	18	23	14			4	27
Agree	39	55	23	71			48	36
Disagree	22	23	0	14			24	36
Strongly Disagree	22	5	46	0			20	0
Not Applicable	6	0	8	0			4	0

Question 3.21: This school offers adequate benefits (e.g. salary, fringe and retirement options) to support my continued employment at this school.